Optimising Surgical Management of Distal Radius Malunion:

A Comparative Network Meta Analysis of Surgical Approaches





Anatolia Nix¹, Shanil Hansjee², Han Hong Chong³, Kunal Kulkarni²

1- University of Leicester, 2- University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, 3- Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust

University Hospitals of Leicester



INTRODUCTION

- · Distal radius fracture malunion causes pain, reduced range of motion, reduced grip strength and impaired function.
- Corrective osteotomy aims to restore anatomical alignment and improve symptoms and function. [1]
- 3 main surgical approaches:
 - Volar: most commonly used, greater soft tissue coverage.
 - Dorsal: improved access for correction of dorsal angulation.
 - Dual: more complex cases (e.g. intraarticular, multiplanar deformity).[2]
- Little evidence to compare the outcomes of the three approaches.

AIMS

- To review the available evidence that considers the clinical outcomes of corrective osteotomy of distal radius malunions.
- Compare the outcomes of utilising volar, dorsal, or dual surgical approaches.

METHODS

- Systematic review & network metaanalysis in accordance with PRISMA.
- Search from inception to January 2024 (MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane CENTRAL).
- 2x authors independently screened paper titles and abstracts for inclusion, followed by full text review.
- Senior authors adjudicated disagreements.

-11.83; 7.65

Identification of studies via databases and registers Records removed before Records identified from: Medline (n = 143) Duplicate records removed Embase (n = 136) (n = 113)CENTRAL (n = 5)Records excluded Records screened (n = 171)(n = 127)Reports sought for retrieval Reports not retrieved (n = 44)Reports excluded Reports assessed for eligibility Previous surgical management or concurrent bone/joint procedures No outcome of interest (n = 6)Non-English (n = 3)Abstract only (n = 2)Case report <5 patients (n = 2) Inadequate data (n = 1)Literature review (n = 1)Studies included in review (n = 20)Reports of included studies

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Study types: RCTs, non-randomised controlled trials, prospective cohort studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective case series (≥5 patients).

Indications: Symptomatic DRF malunion, Clear osteotomy approach.

Population: Adult patients (age ≥16 years).

No. of Studies Direct Comparison

DASH

Graft

Dorsal Graft vs No

Exclusions: Adjunct procedures, No outcomes or complications reported.

Indirect Comparison







[3]

| | / Total Wrists | MD | 95% CI | MD | 95% CI |
|------------------------|----------------|--------|----------------|-------|---------------|
| Hª | 7 / 135 | | | | |
| Volar vs Pre-op | | -32.27 | -41.94; -22.60 | | |
| Dual vs Pre-op | | -34.31 | -52.28; -16.34 | | |
| Volar vs Dual | | | | -1.92 | -19.93; 16.09 |
| VAS Scale ^b | 5 / 95 | | | | |
| Volar vs Pre-op | | -2.77 | -4.93; -0.61 | | |
| Dorsal vs Pre-op | | -5.20 | -10.04; -0.36 | | |
| Volar vs Dorsal | | | | -2.43 | -7.73; 2.87 |
| on-Extension Arc,° | 4/109 | | | | |
| Volar vs Pre-op | | 41.61 | 16.18; 67.05 | | |
| Dorsal vs Pre-op | | 42.75 | 9.32; 76.17 | | |
| | | | 45.47.400.50 | | |

| Volar vs Dual | | | | -1.92 | -19.93; 16.09 |
|--|----------|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Pain VAS Scale ^b | 5 / 95 | | | | |
| Volar vs Pre-op | 3 / 93 | -2.77 | -4.93; -0.61 | | |
| Dorsal vs Pre-op | | -5.20 | -10.04; -0.36 | | |
| Volar vs Dorsal | | | | -2.43 | -7.73; 2.87 |
| Flexion-Extension Arc,° | 4/109 | | | | |
| Volar vs Pre-op | 4/109 | 41.61 | 16.18; 67.05 | | |
| Dorsal vs Pre-op | | 42.75 | 9.32; 76.17 | | |
| Dual vs Pre-op | | 58.50 | 16.47; 100.53 | | |
| Volar vs Dorsal | | | | 1.13 | -40.87; 43.13 |
| Volar vs Dual | | | | 16.89 | -32.24; 66.01 |
| Dorsal vs Dual | | | | 15.75 | -37.95; 69.45 |
| Flexion ^b , ° | 11 / 229 | | | | |
| Volar vs Pre-op | | 27.07 | 17.19; 36.95 | | |
| Dorsal vs Pre-op | | 16.86 | 3.58; 30.13 | | |
| Volar vs Dorsal | | | | 10.21 | -6.33; 26.76 |
| Extension ^b , ° | 11 / 229 | | | | |
| Volar vs Pre-op | | 17.20 | 8.39; 26.01 | | |
| Dorsal vs Pre-op | | 15.25 | 3.34; 27.15 | | |
| Volar vs Dorsal | 4 / 109 | | | 1.96 | -12.85; 16.77 |
| Pronation-Supination Arc, ° Volar vs Pre-op | 4/109 | 51.08 | 33.52; 68.64 | | |
| Dorsal vs Pre-op | | 49.65 | 26.92; 72.37 | | |
| Dual vs Pre-op | | 42.20 | 14.29; 70.11 | | |
| Volar vs Dorsal | | | | 1.44 | -27.28; 30.15 |
| Volar vs Dual | | | | 8.88 | -24.09; 41.86 |
| Dorsal vs Dual | | | | 7.45 | -28.54; 43.44 |
| Pronation ^b , ° | 11/229 | | | | |
| Volar vs Pre-op | | 26.11 | 16.06; 36.16 | | |
| Dorsal vs Pre-op | | 18.99 | 4.86; 33.12 | | |
| Volar vs Dorsal | | | | 7.12 | -10.22; 24.46 |
| Supination ^b , ° | 11 / 229 | | | | |
| Volar vs Pre-op | | 36.41 | 28.41; 44.41 | | |
| Dorsal vs Pre-op | | 25.71 | 13.75; 37.67 | | |
| Volar vs Dorsal | | | | 10.70 | -3.69; 25.09 |
| Radial Inclination, ° | 17 / 358 | | | | |
| Volar vs Pre-op | | 5.58 | 3.01; 8.15 | | |
| Dorsal vs Pre-op | | 5.28 | 1.45; 9.11 | | |
| Dual vs Pre-op | | 12.58 | 3.32; 21.84 | 0.00 | 4.24 4.02 |
| Volar vs Dorsal Volar vs Dual | | | | 0.30 7.00 | -4.31; 4.92 -2.61; 16.61 |
| Dorsal vs Dual | | | | 7.30 | -2.72; 17.32 |
| | | | | | |
| Ulnar Variance, ° | 14 / 237 | | | | |
| Volar vs Pre-op | | -3.65 | -4.97; -2.34 | | |
| Dorsal vs Pre-op Dual vs Pre-op | | -5.92 - 3.17 | -8.02; -3.83 -6.61; 0.28 | | |
| Volar vs Dorsal | | -3.17 | -0.01, 0.20 | -2.27 | -4.74; 0.20 |
| Volar vs Dual | | | | -0.49 | -4.18; 3.20 |
| Dorsal vs Dual | | | | -2.76 | -6.79: 1.28 |
| Volar Tilt in Dorsal | 16/320 | | | | |
| Mal-union ^b , ° | | 20.65 | 26 00: 24 44 | | |
| Volar vs Pre-op Dorsal vs Pre-op | | 30.66 27.84 | 26.88; 34.44 22.60; 33.08 | | |
| Volar vs Dorsal | | 27.07 | 22.00, 33.00 | 2.83 | -3.64; 9.29 |
| | | | | | , |
| Graft and Union | 10 / 161 | | | 1 24 | 2.45-0.54 |
| Graft vs No Graft Volar Graft vs No | | | | -1.31 -1.28 | -3.15; 0.54 -3.16; 0.60 |
| Graft | | | | 1.20 | 3.10, 0.00 |

- Significant improvement compared to pre-op values.
- · No significant difference between approaches.
- · Higher rate of metalwork removal in dorsal group.

| | | Number of Implants | Total Implants Removed, % |
|-------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Metalwork removal | 11 / 241 | | |
| Volar | | 142 | 17 (12%) |
| Dorsal | | 84 | 40 (48%) |
| Dual | | 17 | 2 (12%) |
| | | | |

| Complications | Volar, n (%) | Dorsal, n (%) | Dual, n (%) |
|---|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| Nerve injury/irritation | 9 (2.9%) | 2 (1.1%) | 0 (0%) |
| Tendon injury/irritation | 3 (1%) | 8 (4.3%) | 2 (9.1%) |
| Delayed union | 9 (2.9%) | 1 (0.5%) | 0 (0%) |
| Re-operation (excluding metalwork removal) | 4 (1.3%) | 3 (1.6%) | 0 (0%) |
| CRPS | 3 (1%) | 3 (1.6%) | 0 (0%) |
| Post-traumatic arthritis | 3 (1%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (9.1%) |
| Wound healing (deep/superficial infections) | 0 (0%) | 1 (0.5%) | 0 (0%) |
| Other soft tissue injury | 2 (0.7%) | 3 (1.6%) | 0 (0%) |
| TOTAL | 33 (11%) | 21 (11%) | 4 (18%) |

CRPS = Complex Regional Pain Synarome.

DISCUSSION

- Limitations:
 - Limited comparisons of certain outcome measures due to data gaps.
 - Inconsistent outcome reporting between studies.
 - Moderate risk of bias overall.
 - Predominantly volar approach.
- · All approaches resulted in improved outcomes.
- No conclusive evidence to recommend one approach over another.
- Surgical approach should therefore be tailored to individual cases.

REFERENCES

- 1. Zhang, H., Zhu, Y., Fu, F., Gou, L., Zhu, Y., Zhang, Z., Zhou, C., Yao, S., Yue, M., Li, X., Tong, P., Ruan, H. and Wu, C. (2022). Corrective Osteotomy with Volar and Dorsal Fixation for Malunion of Intra-Articular Fracture of the Distal Radius: A Retrospective Study. Orthopaedic Surgery, 14(8), pp.1751-1758. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/os.13409.
- 2. Essa, A., Paul, R., Khan, S., Erez Avisar, Chan, A. and Persitz, J. (2024). A meta-analysis comparing complications of anterior versus dorsal osteotomy and plating for distal radial malunions. Journal of Hand Surgery (European Volume), 49(8), pp.956–964. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/17531934241254962. 3. Page, M.J., McKenzie, J.E., Bossuyt, P.M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T.C., Mulrow, C.D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J.M., Akl, E.A., Brennan, S.E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J.M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M.M., Li, T., Loder, E.W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S. and McGuinness, L.A. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an Updated
- Guideline for Reporting Systematic Reviews. British Medical Journal, [online] 372(71). doi:https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71. 4. Schurko, B.M., Lechtig, A., Chen, N.C., Earp, B.E., Kanj, W.W., Harper, C.M. and Rozental, T.D. (2019). Outcomes and Complications Following Volar and Dorsal Osteotomy for Symptomatic Distal Radius Malunions: A Comparative Study. The Journal Of Hand Surgery, 45(2), pp.158.e1-158.e8. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhsa.2019.05.015.